



Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology

Volume 7 Number 3 April 2016

ISSN 2141-2634



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT JCECT

Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology (ISSN 2141-2634) is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology (JCECT) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as surveying, environmental engineering, hydrology, soil mechanics, shear moments and forces etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JCECT are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: jcect@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JCECT>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Dr . George Besseris

*El of Piraeus, Greece
Argyrokastrou 30, Drosia, 14572,
Attica Greece*

Prof. Xiaocong He

*Faculty of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering
Kunming University of Science and Technology
253 Xue Fu Road, Kunming
China*

Prof. Jean Louis Woukeng Feudjio

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Dschang, P.O. Box 67 Dschang
Cameroon*

Dr. P.Rathish Kumar

*Department of Civil Engineering,
National Institute of Technology, Warangal 506 004
Andhra Pradesh, India. PhNo
India*

Prof. Waiel Fathi Abd EL-Wahed

*Operations Research & Decision Support
Department
Faculty of Computers and Information
El-Menoufia University, Shibeh EL-Kom
Egypt*

Prof. JM Ndambuki

*Department of Civil Engineering and Building
Vaal University of Technology
Private Bag X021
Vanderbijlpark 1900
South Africa*

Dr. Dipti Ranjan Sahoo

*Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology
Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016,
India.*

Dr. Messaoud Saidani

*Faculty Postgraduate Manager
Faculty of Engineering and Computing
Coventry University
Coventry CV1 5FB, England
UK.*

Dr. Mohammad Arif Kamal

*Department of Architecture
Zakir Hussain College of Engineering Technology
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh -202002
INDIA*

Editorial Board

Dr. Ling Tung-Chai,

*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
Department of Civil and Structural Engineering,
Faculty of Construction and Land Use,
HungHom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.*

Dr. Miguel A. Benítez,

*Project Manager,
Basque Center for Applied Mathematics (BCAM),
Bizkaia Technology Park, Building 500,
E-48160 Derio, Basque Country, Spain.*

Dr. Shehata Eldabie Abdel Raheem,

*Structural Engineering,
Civil Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering,
Assiut University, Assiut 71516,
Egypt.*

Dr. Zhijian Hu,

*Department of Road and Bridge Engineering,
School of Communication,
Wuhan University of Science and Technology,
Wuhan, 430063, China.*

Dr. Mohd Rasoul Suliman,

*Prince Abdullah Bin Ghazi Faculty of Science & Information
Technology, Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan.*

Dr. Paul Scarponcini PE,

*Geospatial and Civil Software Standards,
66 Willowleaf Dr., Littleton, CO 80127,
USA.*

Dr. Rita Yi Man Li,

*Hong Kong Shue Yan University
North Point, Hong Kong.*

Dr. Alaa Mohamed Rashad,

*Building Materials Research and Quality Control Institute,
Housing & Building National Research
Center, 87 El-Tahrir St., Dokki, Giza 11511,
P.O.Box: 1770 Cairo, Egypt.*

Dr. Alaa Mohamed Rashad Abdel Aziz Mahmoud,

*Housing and Building National Research center,
87 El-Tahrir St., Dokki, Giza 11511,
P.O.Box: 1770 Cairo, Egypt.*

Dr. Nikos Pnevmatikos,

*Greek Ministry of Environment,
Urban Planning and Public Works,
Department of Earthquake Victims and Retrofitting
Services, Greece.*

Prof. Karima Mahmoud Attia Osman,

6 Zahraa Naser City, Cairo, Egypt.

Dr. Lim Hwee San,

*99E-3A-10, I-Regency Condominium, Jalan Bukit Gambir,
11700, Penang, Malaysia.*

Dr. Jamie Goggins,

*Civil Engineering, School of Engineering and Informatics,
National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland.*

Dr. Hossam Mohamed Toma,

*King Abdullah Institute for Research and Consulting Studies,
King Saud University, P.O.Box 2454,
Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.*

Dr. Depeng Chen,

*School of Civil Engineering,
Anhui University of Technology,
59#, Hudong Road, Maanshan, 243002,
China.*

Dr. Srinivasan Chandrasekaran,

*Room No. 207, Dept of Ocean Engineering ,
Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai,
India.*

Prof. Amir Alikhani,

*Ministry of Oil, Harbour organization, and minister of
Energy Tehran, Iran.*

Dr. Memon Rizwan Ali,

*Department of Civil Engineering,
Mehran University of Engineering & Technology,
Jamshoro.*

Prof. Murat Dicleli,

*Department of Engineering Sciences,
Middle East Technical University,
06531 Ankara, Turkey.*

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the ERR to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length.. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)
References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired skin infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). *Microbiology: Concepts and Applications.* McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

Fees and Charges: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances.

Copyright: © 2016, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

Disclaimer of Warranties

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the JCECT, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

ARTICLE

Effects of Cissus populnea gum and rubber latex on physico-mechanical properties of cement-bonded rattan composites	20
Abel Olajide Olorunnisola and Afeez Kolawole Asimiyu	

Full Length Research Paper

Effects of *Cissus populnea* gum and rubber latex on physico-mechanical properties of cement-bonded rattan composites

Abel Olajide Olorunnisola* and Afeez Kolawole Asimiyu

Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Received 19 December, 2015; Accepted 13 April, 2016

In this study, the effects of partial replacement of ordinary Portland cement with natural polymers on selected physical and mechanical properties of cement-bonded rattan composites was investigated. Fibrous particles of *Laccosperma secundiflorum* rattan cane species were mixed with cement mortar at two levels, that is, 2.5 and 5.0%. For experimentation, Portland cement was partially replaced at four levels, first with *Cissus populnea* gum (0, 10, 20, and 30%) and then natural rubber latex (0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10%). All test specimens were prepared and tested in accordance with ISO 8335 standard. Results obtained showed that the moisture content, density, water absorption, thickness, and bending strength of the composites met the minimum standard requirements, except for samples produced using 30% *C. populnea* and 5% rattan fibre that fell short of the 9 N/mm² minimum bending strength requirement. Partial replacement of cement with the two polymers resulted in a general increase in density. Analyses of variance showed that *C. populnea* gum had a significant effect ($p < 5\%$) on water absorption after 24 h of immersion, while natural rubber latex and *C. populnea* gum had significant effects on thickness swelling at 2 and 24 h of immersion, respectively. Also, *C. populnea* gum and rattan fibre contents had significant effects on the bending strength of the composites. It was concluded that Portland cement could be partially replaced with up to 20% of *C. populnea* gum or up to 2.5% of rubber latex in rattan-cement composite manufacture without adverse effects on the basic composite properties tested.

Key words: Rattan cane, *Cissus populnea*, rubber latex, cement, composites.

INTRODUCTION

Cement-bonded composites are made from the strands, particles or fibres of light-weight agro-forestry residues such as sawdust, tea waste, oil palm shells, hazelnut shell, and cork waste, among others (Bentur and Aekers, 1989; Demirbas and Aslan, 1998; Wolf and Gjinolli, 1999; Basri et al., 1999; Karade et al., 2002; Karade, 2003). The matrix is usually cement paste or mortar and the

fibre content can be as high as 10% by mass of cement (Mallari et al., 1999; Baski et al., 2003). The resulting low density products are largely employed in thin-sheet (6 to 10 mm thick) components such as flat and corrugated roofing tiles, ceiling boards and shingles. In the past thirty-five years, research has continued on acceptable non-wood materials for composite manufacture in the

*Corresponding author. E-mail: abelolorunnisola@yahoo.com. Tel: +234-803-472-4945.

wake of dwindling wood resources in many regions of the world.

Rattans are spiny, climbing, monocotyledonous palms generally found near water courses. Their distribution is largely confined to tropical and subtropical forests of Asia, Africa and the Pacific where ten of the thirteen known genera and 600 species are endemic, but *Laccosperma secundiflorum* is the most widespread (Sunderland and Dransfield, 2002). Rattan utilization for furniture manufacture is quite prominent in virtually all the countries where rattans are found. However, only about 20% of the 600 known rattan species is of commercial value in furniture making (Dransfield and Manokaran, 1993). Again, over 30% of rattan canes harvested at any particular time is usually discarded largely due to wood-staining fungi infestation, among other reasons (Liese, 2002). Nevertheless, previous studies by Olorunnisola (2007, 2008) and Adefisan and Olorunnisola (2010) have shown that rattan canes, including the fungus-stained ones, are a viable feedstock for cement-bonded composite since the wood-staining fungi tend to consume the low molecular weight sugars present in rattans that tend to inhibit cement hydration.

There is, however, a growing concern about the huge amount of fossil fuel combustion involved in cement manufacture, the associated CO₂ emission and the attendant significant contribution to global warming. One way of addressing this challenge is through partial substitution of Portland cement with polymers, a well-known practice in the concrete industry that is yet to be fully explored in the production of cement-bonded composites. One of the commercially available polymers commonly used in fibre-reinforced polymer-cement mortar is styrene-butadiene rubber (Shirai and Ohama, 1995). A well designed polymer modification can bring in several technical benefits such as increased tensile and flexural strength as well as enhanced water resistance (Neville and Brooks, 2006). Deriving such polymers from natural sources is also advantageous since they are eco-friendly.

Two candidate natural polymers that could be explored are *Cissus populnea* gum and natural rubber latex. The *C. populnea*, a woody liana (climber), is indigenous to the tropical rainforest zones of East, West and Central Africa that exudates gum (Iwe et al., 2004; Ojekale et al., 2006). Natural rubber latex, on the other hand, is typically obtained from the sap of the *Hevea brasiliensis* rubber tree. The latex, a natural polymer of isoprene, may be whitish or yellowish in colour.

The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of the partial substitution of Portland cement with *C. populnea* gum and rubber latex on selected physical and mechanical properties of cement-bonded rattan composites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mature, freshly harvested samples of *L. secundiflorum* rattan cane



Figure 1. Rattan cane particles.



Figure 2. *C. populnea* gum.

were duly identified in a standard herbarium, cross-cut into about 5 cm long billets, air dried for 4 weeks and then hammer-milled. Only the fibrous particles retained on a 2 mm sieve were used for composite production. Fresh *C. populnea* stems were procured, cut into 20 cm long billets, peeled and soaked in water at room temperature for 72 h to promote gum exudation. The mucilaginous exudate obtained was collected and filtered to remove extraneous materials. Rubber latex tapped from a plantation-grown rubber tree (*H. brasiliensis*) was procured, stored under air-tight condition, and preserved with Ammonia to prevent coagulation. Portland cement and river sand were also procured and used as binder and fine aggregates, respectively. The sand was washed with water, air-dried and sieved. Only particles that passed through a 2 mm sieve were used. Distilled water was used for all mixing operations.

For composite manufacture, the air dried rattan fibrous particles (Figure 1) were manually mixed with sand and cement in the control samples. Rattan contents of 2.5 and 5%, respectively were employed. For the experimental samples, *C. populnea* gum (Figure 2) and rubber latex (Figure 3) were separately used as partial replacements of cement at 10, 20 and 30% and 2.5, 5.0, and 10% by mass of cement, respectively as shown in Table 1. Preliminary studies had shown that rubber latex inclusion above 10% of the mass of cement was unacceptable. Triplicate samples of each matrix was poured into metallic moulds and vibrated for 60 s to get



Figure 3. Rubber latex.

Table 1. Mix proportions for the composites.

Composite	Sand (g)	Water (g)	2.5% Rattan (g)	5.0% Rattan (g)	Portland Cement	Polymer (g)
Control	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	562.5	-
10% <i>C. populnea</i>	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	506.3	56.3
20% <i>C. populnea</i>	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	450	112.5
30% <i>C. populnea</i>	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	393.8	168.8
2.5% rubber latex	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	548.5	14.1
5% rubber latex	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	534.4	28.1
10% rubber latex	1125	281.3	14.1	28.1	506.3	56.2




Figure 4. Effects of *C. populnea* and Rattan content on the moisture content of the composite.

rid of entrapped air. The samples were de-moulded after 24 h. Following the procedure adopted by Shirai and Ohama (1995), the specimens were damp-cured for 7 days at room temperature (about 25°C) and subsequently air-dried for 21 days. The moisture content, density, water absorption, thickness swelling and bending strength of the composites were then determined in accordance with ISO 8335 (1987) standard. The test results obtained were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistical analyses, including two-way analysis of variance at 5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Moisture content and density

The moisture contents and densities of the composites are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The moisture contents of all the composite samples were relatively low (<3%) and in conformity with the ISO 8335 (1987) standard which stipulates a moisture content of below 12% for fibre-




Figure 5. Effects of rubber latex and rattan content on the moisture content of the composite.




Figure 8. Effect of *C. populnea* on water absorption (%) of the composites at 2.5% rattan fibre content.




Figure 6. Effects of *C. populnea* and rattan content on the density of the composites.




Figure 7. Effects of rubber latex and rattan content on the density of the composite.

cement composites. Partial replacement of cement with *C. populnea* gum and rubber latex resulted in a general decrease in moisture content, regardless of the rattan content.

As shown in Figures 6 and 7, the mean densities of the control samples containing 2.5 and 5% rattan fibre were 1737 and 2080 kg/m³, respectively. The corresponding ranges of values for samples containing *C. populnea* gum and rubber latex were 1890 to 2289 and 1867 to 2629 kg/m³, respectively. These values meet the ISO 8335 (1987) standard requirement of a density above 1000 kg/m³. They also compare favourably with the range of values (1650 to 2400 kg/m³) reported by Neville and Brooks (2006) for polymer concretes, but are lower than the range (1209 to 1365%) reported by Sudin and Swamy (2006) for bamboo and wood fibre cement composites who also partially replaced cement with natural rubber latex. Both the *C. populnea* gum and rubber latex contributed to a general increase in composite density. The increase was more pronounced in samples containing rubber latex in which the level of partial replacement of cement was lower, that is, 2.5 to 10% and the quantity of cement was greater. This was apparently due to the relatively higher specific gravity of the rubber latex. Freshly tapped natural rubber latex has a specific gravity of 0.97 to 0.98 depending on its dry rubber content which varies from 30 to 35%.

Dimensional stability

The Water Absorption (WA) values for the different composite mixtures are shown in Figures 8 to 11. The range of values obtained (4.8 to 11.9%) were much lower than the 2.2 to 28.6% reported by Olorunnisola (2005) for normal rattan-cement composites, but were consistent with those reported by Sudin and Swamy (2006) for wood-latex-cement composites. Partial replacement of




Figure 9. Effect of *C. populnea* on water absorption (%) of the composites at 5% rattan fibre content.




Figure 12. Effect *C. populnea* on thickness swelling (%) of the composites at 2.5% rattan fibre content.




Figure 10. Effect of rubber latex on water absorption (%) of the composites at 2.5% rattan fibre content.




Figure 13. Effect of *C. populnea* on thickness swelling (%) of the composites at 5% rattan fibre content




Figure 11. Effect of rubber latex on water absorption (%) of the composites at 5% rattan fibre content

cement with the two polymers resulted in an increase in WA across board, but particularly more so in the *C.*

populnea gum samples. A similar increase in WA due to partial replacement of cement with natural rubber latex in bamboo and wood fibre cement composites was reported by Sudin and Swamy (2006). Two-way analysis of variance, however, showed that only *C. populnea* gum had significant effect ($p < 5\%$) on WA after 24 h of immersion.

The corresponding Thickness Swelling (TS) values for the composite samples are shown in Figures 12 to 15. The values fell within the acceptable limits of 1.6 and 1.9% (after 1 and 24 h of immersion, respectively) as stipulated in BS 5669 (1989), and less than 2% (after 24 h of immersion) as stipulated in ISO 8335 (1987), respectively. These are clear indications that the composites were all dimensionally stable. However, two-way analyses of variance showed that the replacement of cement with natural rubber latex and *C. populnea* gum had significant effects ($p < 5\%$) on TS at 2 and 24 h of immersion, respectively.




Figure 14. Effect of rubber latex on thickness swelling (%) of the composites at 2.5% rattan fibre content.




Figure 15. Effect of rubber latex on thickness swelling (%) of the composites at 5% rattan fibre content.

Table 2. Bending strength of the rattan-polymer-cement composites.

Level of cement replacement	2.5% Rattan content (N/mm ²)	5.0% Rattan content (N/mm ²)
0% (Control)	39.8 ± 3.46	24.0 ± 0.72
10% <i>C. populnea</i>	32.3± 1.62	18.9± 5.57
20% <i>C. populnea</i>	30.0± 3.04	28.4 ± 14.33
30% <i>C. populnea</i>	22.24± 5.86	6.8 ± 1.16
2.5% rubber latex	33.4 ± 2.98	30.9 ± 13.86
5.0% rubber latex	28.8 ±1.16	24.8 ± 4.90
10.0% rubber latex	15.4 ± 5.13	16.5 ± 5.94

Bending strength

The bending strengths of the composites are shown in

Table 2. The values are consistent with those published in literature for fibre-reinforced composites (Balaguru and Shah, 1992; Savastano et al., 2003; O'Donnell et al.,

Table 3. Two-Way ANOVA on the effects of rattan and *C. populnea* contents on the bending strength of the composites.

Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rattan Rattan	535.460	1	535.4596	14.46019	0.0052169	5.3177
<i>C. populnea</i>	701.221	3	233.7403	6.31220	0.0167097	4.0662
Interaction	135.148	3	45.04933	1.21657	0.364755	4.0662
Within	296.239	8	37.02993	-	-	-
Total	1668.07	15	-	-	-	-

Table 4. Two-Way ANOVA on the effects of rattan and rubber latex contents on the bending strength of the composites.

Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rattan	112.201	1	112.201	2.98789	0.12215	5.3177
Rubber Latex	689.906	3	229.969	6.12401	0.018126	4.0662
Interaction	161.520	3	53.8399	1.43374	0.303174	4.0662
Within	300.416	8	37.5520	-	-	-
Total	1264.04	15	-	-	-	-

2004). Except for the samples containing 30% *C. populnea* and 5% rattan fibre, the bending strength of all the composites met the minimum standards of 9 N/mm² and 10 N/mm² specified by ISO 8335 (1987) and BS 5669 (1989), respectively. There was a general reduction in the bending strength with increases in rattan and *C. populnea* gum contents. A similar reduction in bending strength with increase in rubber latex content was observed in composite samples produced with 2.5% rattan fibre. Sudin and Swamy (2006) had noted that a decrease in bending strength in polymer-cement composites may be attributed to interference of the polymer with early stages of cement hydration resulting retardation and incomplete bond formation. Two-way analysis of variance (Table 3) showed that rattan and *C. populnea* contents had significant effects ($p < 5\%$) on the bending strength, though the interaction of both variables had no significant effect.

However, partial replacement of cement with 20% *C. populnea* gum and 2.5% rubber latex resulted in about 18.3 and 30% increases, respectively in the bending strengths of the composite samples produced with 5% rattan fibre. Two-way analysis of variance (Table 4) confirmed that only the partial replacement of cement with natural rubber latex had significant effect ($p < 5\%$) on bending strength.

Conclusions

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of partial replacement of Portland cement with two natural polymers and rattan content on the physical and strength

properties of cement-bonded composites reinforced with rattan cane fibre. Findings showed that the selected properties of the polymer-cement composites were generally in conformity with ISO specifications. In conclusion, it is possible to partially replace Portland cement with up to 20% *C. populnea* gum or up to 2.5% rubber latex in the production of rattan-polymer-cement composites. However, for *C. populnea* gum-cement composites, the rattan fibre content should be less than 5%.


ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was funded by a Senate Research Grant, awarded by the University of Ibadan. The support is acknowledged with immense gratitude.

REFERENCES

- Adefisan OO, Olorunnisola, AO (2010). Effects of selected pre-treatment methods on the hydration behaviour of rattan-cement mixtures. *J. Bamboo and Rattan* 9(3&4):151-158.
- Balaguru PN, Shah SP (1992). *Fiber reinforced cement composites*, McGraw Hill, New York.
- Baski S, Srikanth G, Biswas S (2003). Composites- material for the future. Proc. Conf. on Advances in Polymeric Building Materials organized by the Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee, India, 6-7 March, 2003, pp. 64-76.
- Basri HB, Mannan MA, Zain MFM (1999). Concrete using waste oil palm shells as aggregate. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 28(8):619-622.
- Bentur AO, Aekers SA (1989). The Microstructure and ageing of cellulose fibre -reinforced cement composites cured in a normal environment. *Int. J. Cem. Compos. Lightweight Concr.* 11(2):99-110.
- BS 5669 (1989). Particleboard Part 4. Specifications for cement bonded particleboard. British Standard.

- Demirbas A, Aslan A (1998). Effects of ground hazelnut shell, wood and tea waste on the mechanical properties of cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 28(8):1101-1104.
- Dransfield J, Manokaran N (eds) (1993). *Plant resources of South-East Asia-Rattans*. PROSEA, Jakarta.
- ISO (1987). International standard for Cement-bonded particleboards-boards of Portland or equivalent cement reinforced with fibrous wood particles: ISO 8335, first edition, 1987-11-15.
- Iwe MO, Obaje PO, Akpapunam MA (2004). Physicochemical properties of cissus gum powder extracted with the aid of edible starches. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 59:161-168.
- Karade SR, Irle M, Maher K, Caldiera F (2002). Cork granules as lightweight aggregate. In: Dhir RK, Dyer TD, Halliday JE (Eds.), *Sustainable concrete construction*, Thomas Telford, London, pp. 253-262.
- Karade SR (2003). An investigation of cork-cement composites. Ph.D. thesis, Buckinghamshire Chilterns University College, Brunel University, U.K. P 212.
- Liese W (2002). Challenges and constraints in rattan processing and utilization, in: *Rattan Current Research Issues and Prospects for Conservation and Sustainable Development*, Dransfield J, Tesoro FO, Manokaran N (eds) FAO, Rome, pp. 35-42.
- Mallari Jr VC, Pulido OR, Cabangon RJ, Novicio LA (1999). Development of a rapid curing process for cement-bonded board manufacture in, Moslemi AA (ed.) *Proc. 5th Inorganic Wood and Fiber Composite Materials.*, Forest Products Research Society, Madison, WI, USA pp. 147-152.
- Neville AM, Brooks JJ (2006). *Concrete Technology*. Published by Pearson Educational Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- O'Donnell A, Dweib MA, Wool RP (2004). Natural fiber composites with plant oil-based resin. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 64:1135-1145.
- Ojekale AB, Lawal OA, Lasisi AK, Adeleke TI (2006). Phytochemistry and spermatogenic potentials of extract of *Cissus populnea* (Guill and Perr) Stem bark. *Sci. World J.* 1:176-182.
- Olorunnisola AO (2005). Dimensional stability of cement-bonded composite boards produced from rattan cane particles. *J. Bamboo Rattan* 4(2):173-182.
- Olorunnisola AO (2007). Effects of particle geometry and chemical accelerator on strength properties of rattan-cement composites. *Afr. J. Sci. Technol.* 8(1):22-27.
- Olorunnisola AO (2008). Effects of pre-treatment of rattan (*Laccosperma secundiflorum*) on hydration of portland cement and the development of a new compatibility index. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 30(1):37-43.
- Savastano Jr. H, Warden PG, Coutts RSP (2003). Potential of alternative fibre cements as building materials for developing areas. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 25:585-592.
- Shirai A, Ohama Y (1995). Flexural behavior and application of polymer-ferrocements with steel and carbon fibers, in *Fiber reinforced concrete, modern developments in* Banthia N, Mindess S (eds.) University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 201-212
- Sudin R, Swamy RN (2006). Bamboo and wood fibre cement composites for sustainable infrastructure regeneration. *J. Mater. Sci.* 41:6917-6924.
- Sunderland TCH, Dransfield J (2002). Species profiles: Rattan (Palmae calamoideae), in *Rattan current research issues and prospects for conservation and sustainable development*, Dransfield J, Tesoro FO, Manokaran N (eds.) FAO, Rome, Italy. pp. 9-22.
- Weiner G, Liese W (1993). Generic identification key to rattan palms based on stem anatomical characters. *IAWA J.* 14(1):55-61.
- Wolf RW, Gjinolli A (1999). Durability and strength of cement-bonded wood particle composites made from construction wastes. *Forest Prod. J.* 49:24-31.

The background of the cover is a photograph of a tall, modern skyscraper with a blue facade, reaching towards a blue sky with scattered white clouds. In the lower-left corner, a red Chinese flag with yellow stars is visible on a flagpole. The title text is overlaid on a semi-transparent grey rectangular area.

Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *International Journal of Computer Engineering Research*
- *Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering Research*
- *Journal of Engineering and Computer Innovations*
- *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering*
- *Journal of Engineering and Technology Research*
- *Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology*

academicJournals